1 August Gugelmann, SBN 240544 SWANSON & McNAMARA LLP 300 Montgomery Street, Suite 1100 San Francisco, California 94104 Telephone: (415) 477-3800 2 3 Facsimile: (415) 477-9010 4 Attorney for JOSE MIGUEL ROBLES 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 Plaintiff. 14 VS.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Case No. 4:21-MJ-71411-MAG

STIPULATION AND ORDER TO **CONTINUE**

JOSE MIGUEL ROBLES,

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Defendant.

This case is currently set for an arraignment/preliminary hearing on March 24, 2022. The parties are requesting a continuance of the matter to 10:30 a.m. on May 19, 2022. This will provide the parties with needed time to review discovery and discuss resolution of the case.

Pursuant to Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1(d) and the Speedy Trial Act, Mr. Robles agrees to waive time for both the preliminary examination and under the Speedy Trial Act. The government has produced voluminous discovery and defense counsel needs time to review and discuss the discovery with Mr. Robles. The parties therefore request that the Court exclude from the time limits of 18 U.S.C. § 3161 the period from March 24 to May 19, 2022, to allow for effective preparation and continuity of counsel, and the parties agree that the ends of justice

1 served by granting such an exclusion of time outweigh the best interests of the public and the 2 defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). 3 IT IS SO STIPULATED. 4 Dated: March 23, 2022 August Gugelmann 5 SWANSON & McNAMARA LLP Attorneys for Jose Miguel Robles 6 7 Molly K. Priedeman Assistant United States Attorney 8 9 10 11 ORDER (AS MODIFIED) 12 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. The matter is continued to 13 May 19, 2022, at 10:00 a.m. The Court finds that the ends of justice served by granting this 14 continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and defendant in a speedy trial, and 15 accordingly excludes time under the Speedy Trial Act and Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 until May 19, 2022. The Court finds this exclusion necessary for continuity of counsel and to 16 17 allow for the effective preparation of defense counsel. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv); Federal 18 Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1(c), (d). 19 Dated: March 23, 2022 20 IT IS SO ORDERED 21 S MODIFIED 22 udge Donna M. Ryu 23 24 onna M. Ryu States Magistrate J 25 26 27 28